

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Abress of AMOSS ONE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS was improved to 1933 www.ispto.gos

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09 165.034	10 01 1998	RICHARD J. NEELY	KCX-85-(1319	7380	
	590 07 08 2002 4 A NINING P. A		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449 GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			PIERCE, JE	PIERCE, JEREMY R	
GREE. VIEE	.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771 DATE MAILED: 07 08 2002	2/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	• •			
Office Action Summary	09 165,034	NEELY ET AL		
Omee Nederl Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771		
Period for Reply	in appears on the cover sheet with th	a correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days fit NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply boon. The areply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>17 April 2002</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for a				
closed in accordance with the practice understanding Disposition of Claims	inder Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-16,27-50 and 59-73</u> is/are	e pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-16,27-50 and 59-73</u> is/are	rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag	•			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 21		

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 29, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 9, 12, 13, 15, 27-29, 32, 35, 39-42, 44, 48-50, and 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alemany et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,834,735).

Alemany et al. teach an absorbent article wherein the deposition region of its absorbent member comprises a storage zone and an acquisition zone having a lower average density and a lower average basis weight per unit area than the storage zone (Abstract). The ratio of the density between the storage zone and acquisition zone is

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

about or greater than 2:1 (column 2, lines 52-60). The web is compressed in the manufacturing process when it is calendared in a roll to effect densifying the storage zone (column 18, lines 3-12). With regard to claim 9, Alemany discloses the basis weights to be between 0.02 and 0.186 g/cm2 (column 13, line 66) for the storage zone and between 0.015 and 0.1 g/cm² for the acquisition zone (column 14, line 49), and 1 a/cm² is equal to about 295 oz/yd². With regard to claim 12, Alemany et al. disclose using pulp fibers (column 8, line 14). With regard to claim 13, Alemany et al. disclose using polyester fibers (column 8, line 9). With regard to claim 15, Alemany et al. make the web by airlaying (column 18, line 4). With regard to claims 35 and 40, Alemany et al. disclose the backsheet can be polyethylene tilm (column 5, lines 26-27). With regard to claim 39, the topsheet can comprise a spunbonded web (column 5, line 12), which could be considered the third layer. With regard to claim 41, Alemany et al. disclose the topsheet can be non-woven (column 5, line 11). With regard to claims 42 and 44, Alemany et al. disclose the article can be a disposable diaper or personal care product (column 1, lines 52-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1771

5. Claims 43, 62, 64-66, 68-70, 72, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al.

With regard to claim 43. Alemany et al. do not disclose the articles useful as a wiper product. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the absorbent material disclosed by Alemany et al. as a wiper product, since it is well known within the art that absorbent articles useful in personal care products and diapers can also be employed as a wiper product. With regard to claims 62, 64-66, 68-70, 72, and 73, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include a through-air bonding step in the manufacture of the absorbent product of Alemany et al., since through-air bonding is a well known process in the art of diaper manufacture that increases the strength of the web.

6. Claims 2, 7, 10, 16, 30, 31, 34, 38, 46, 47, 62, 63, 65-67, 69-71, and 73 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Newkirk et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,143,779).

With regard to claims 2, 34, and 38, Alemany et al. do not teach the fabric to comprise a spunbonded web. Newkirk et al. disclose the absorbent layer for disposable diapers can be made from a spunbonded web (column 4, line 33). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to manufacture the absorbent web of Alemany et al. by spunbonding rather than air-laying, since such practice is well known as an alternative in making an absorbent web. With regard to claims 7 and 30, Newkirk et al. disclose using polypropylene (column 4, line 67). With regard to claims 10, 16, 31, 46, and 47 Newkirk et al. teach using crimped fibers offer increased loft in the nonwoven web and

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

bicomponent fibers are easily crimpable (column 4, lines 57-62). With regard to claims 62, 65, 66, 69, 70, and 73, Newkirk et al. further teach through air bonding followed by compressing as a process known in the art (Abstract). With regard to claims 63, 67, and 71, Newkirk et al. teach pattern bonding offers an attractive balance of loft, softness, and strength (column 6, lines 10-18).

7. Claims 3-6, 11, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Karami (U.S. Patent No. 4,027,672).

Alemany et al. do not disclose the lower basis weight area and the higher basis weight area to form a repeating pattern of alternating columns. However, this pattern is already known in the art of absorbent webs in diapers. Karami teaches various patterns of densified regions in a nonwoven absorbent pad, including alternating columns (Figure 8) and where the first area surrounds the second area (Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the densified patterns disclosed by Karami in the absorbent pad of Alemany et al. in order to derive the absorbing and transporting properties in the patterned web taught by Karami. With regard to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include alternating rows of densified regions as well as alternating columns in order to further increase the variance in absorbing and transporting properties of the web. With regard to claim 11, Karami shows the densified and undensified portions to exist in a 1:1 ratio (Figure 8).

8. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Karami and Newkirk et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034 Page 6

Art Unit: 1771

The alternating columns feature stands rejected as cited above in view of Karami and the polypropylene fiber features stands rejected as cited above in view of Newkirk et al.

9. Claims 14, 36, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alemany et al. in view of Morman (U.S. Patent No. 5,611,879).

Alemany et al. do not disclose the web to be spunbond or meltblown. In the diaper art, absorbent cores are frequently made from spunbonded or meltblown webs, as shown by Morman (column 4, lines 9-14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the absorbent core of Alemany et al. out of spunbonded or meltblown webs, as a simple matter of choice of manufacturing, as laught by Morman.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/165,034

Art Unit: 1771

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeremy R. Pierce

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

July 1, 2002

ELIZABETHM. COLE
ELIZABETHM. COLE
ELIZABETHM. COLE
ENDARY EXAMINER